



**HELIOS** RENEWABLE  
ENERGY  
PROJECT

# **Preliminary Environmental Information Report**

**Volume 3: Technical Appendices**

Appendix 7.2: Viewpoint Correspondence

Helios Renewable Energy Project – [REDACTED], Principal Landscape Architect NYCC  
30/6/2022

■ Updated comments in Green 30/8/2022 (following BWnS comments 15/07.2022 and Contact Sheets-Pans transmittal 10/08/2022)

Request for LVIA Viewpoint Agreement – [REDACTED] Barton Willmore

Initial Notes / comments based on viewpoints selected within a red-line boundary (without indicative layout of panel array)

Please clarify the following:

- purpose of each viewpoint / receptor represented. All photographs currently state 'Site Context Photographs'.
- which photo viewpoints will be used to represent specific receptors.
- Which viewpoints are intended for photomontages.
- Which photographs are intended to cover setting of heritage. The scoping report suggests that several listed buildings are likely to experience adverse effects.

#### Advisory Note

Appendix 3 and 4 in TGN 06/19 should be noted, with camera / tripod height / position in the field adjusted as necessary so that views show the full extent of the site / development and show the effect it has upon the receptor location.

Views of the site should not be unnecessarily obscured by buildings, roadside hedgerows or other vegetation.

#### Other comment / observations:

VP 01 - OK

VP 02 - No. Needs relocating to show wider view / extents of the scheme closer to Crossley wood representative of nearby housing and road. Adjusted location agreed PAN 63 and PAN 64

VP 03 - OK

VP 04 - Perhaps better located from Old Lane? Road & PROW ? Adjusted location agreed Pan 188.

VP 05 - Ok. Perhaps better located to show more extended views? General location agreed, directed more to right to show openness as PAN 213.

VP 06 - OK

VP 07 - NO. Adjust location, show extents of scheme, not from behind a hedgerow. General location agreed, directed more to right to show openness and context of access as PAN 227.

VP 08 - OK

VP 09 - No. Not sure why this has been located. General wider context? Not directly towards scheme? Move SE to Bull Alley Ln? General location agreed, suggest directed more to right to show edge of track for context and openness towards scheme as PAN 055.

- VP 10 - ? Question if better direction towards Scheme. **General location agreed, ensure directed towards scheme**
- VP 11- OK
- VP 12 - OK
- VP 13 - OK
- VP 14 - OK
- VP 15 – OK View of access point? **General location agreed as view of access point from highway.**
- VP 16 - OK
- VP 17 – OK wide context **Not representative of users within the site. Please ensure receptors stated are accurate in the assessment / Receptor Table.**
- VP 18 - OK
- VP 19 - No. Not sure what receptor represented? Is this located correctly on plan? **Location to be corrected. Please ensure views towards the proposed scheme.**
- VP 20 - OK **Recommend panning view slightly to the right or adjusted location. View partly obscured by a single building, which is not representative of the wider views along that section of the TPT, or views by passengers from the rail line.**
- VP 21 - OK
- VP 22 - NO. obscured by shed / undergrowth. Should be representative of views from nearby farm house or **Not particularly representative of vehicle travellers. Would be more useful in an adjusted location to represent residential views from nearby farm house.**
- VP 23 - OK
- VP 24 - OK
- VP 25 - No. Needs relocating to show extents of scheme representative of housing / road / PROW? Hardenshaw Lane? **Proposed view not useful and does not show extents of scheme, contrary to guidelines.**
- VP 26 - OK
- VP 27 - OK
- VP 28 - OK
- VP 29 - No. Needs relocating to show extents of scheme representative of views from Station Rd / A1041 **Current view not particularly useful or representative of views towards the scheme. Adjusted or additional location recommended from nearby junction A646 / A1041 directed southwards towards the scheme.**
- VP 30- Ok wider context

VP 31 – No. Better as representative view from housing nr Barff View. Recommend directed more to left towards the scheme PAN 243 or adjusting location towards the edge of Burn village where there are open views towards the scheme.

VP 32 - OK

VP 33 – OK

VP 34 - OK

VP 35- OK

VP 36 + 37 - No. Need relocating to show extents of the scheme. Take account of roadside hedgerows which could be cut. Recommend supplementing with additional photo of more open location directed towards the scheme.

Viewpoint	Notes
VP1	Retained
VP2	Adjusted to PAN 63 and PAN 64 as agreed
VP3	Retained
VP4	Adjusted to PAN 213 as agreed
VP5	Retained
VP6	Retained
VP7	Retained
VP8	Retained
VP9	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP10	Retained
VP11	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP12	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP13	Retained
VP14	Retained
VP15	Removed – no site access here
VP16	Retained
VP17	Removed – this part of site only proposed for underground cables
VP18	Retained
VP19	Retained
VP20	Retained
VP21	Retained
VP22	Removed. Site obscured by shed
VP23	Retained
VP24	Retained
VP25	Removed. Site not visible.
VP26	Retained
VP27	Retained
VP28	Retained
VP29	Retained
VP30	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP31	Retained
VP32	Retained
VP33	Retained
VP34	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP35	Removed – revised site unlikely to be visible
VP36	Removed – area removed from application
VP37	Removed – area removed from application
New VP A	View from PRow within site.
New VP B	Alternative view to VP 22 as suggested
New VP C	Alternative view to VP 25 as suggested
New VP D	View from New Road of proposed expansion of substation.